1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC., No. C-07-05488 EDL
9	Plaintiff,
10	ORDER DENYING NETAPP'S MOTION v. FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REQUEST TO
11	NETWORK APPLIANCE, INC., NETWORK APPLIANCE, INC., SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON-
12	Defendant. Defendant. Defendant. Defendant. Defendant. Defendant. Defendant. Defendant. Defendant. SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON- INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,632,012 AND EXHIBIT THERETO
13	
14	In connection with NetApp's Reply in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-
15	Infringement of U.S. Patent No.5,632,012 and declaration in support thereof, NetApp filed an
16	administrative motion to file under seal the unredacted version of its Reply brief, as well as Exhibit
17	2 of the Walter Declaration in Support, on the basis that Sun had previously designated certain
18	information contained in these documents "Attorney's Eyes Only." See Dkt. No. 318. On March
19	31, 2010, Sun filed a response stating that these documents to not need to be filed under seal.
20	Accordingly, NetApp's Motion (Docket # 318) is DENIED and the unredacted Reply and Exhibit 2
21	will be made part of the public record.
22	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	
25	Dated: April 27, 2010
26	Elizareth Di Aporte
27	United States Magistrate Judge
28	